UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,971 03/08/2007		Karsten Strehl	10191/4621	8542
26646 KENYON & K	7590 07/25/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADY		LUU, CUONG V		
NEW YORK, N	NI 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2128		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/578,971	STREHL, KARSTEN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CUONG V. LUU	2128	

	CUONG V. LUU	2128						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 01 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	THE REPLY FILED 01 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, but the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, but the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, but the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, but the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a filed after	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	Called					
a) ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			cause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	,,						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for					
appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	,							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	-							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected to:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
	/Alexander J Kosowski/							
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2128						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments of claims 11, 17-19 raise new issues that would required further consideration and search. The word "standard" has been added to these independent claims changes the scope of amended limitations, so new issues are raised.